

Journal of Organometallic Chemistry 506 (1996) 265-272



Chromium carbonyl complexes containing 1,4,8,11-tetrakis-(methyldiphenylphosphino)-1,4,8,11-tetraazacyclotetradecane (TPTA): the synthesis and characterization of $[Cr(CO)_4]_2$ TPTA and $[Cr(CO)_5]_4$ TPTA

Henrika Meider^a, Dubravka Matković-Čalogović^b, Pavica Planinić^{a,*}

^a Department of Physical Chemistry, Ruđer Bošković Institute, Bijenička 54, 10000 Zagreb, Croatia ^b Laboratory of General and Inorganic Chemistry, Faculty of Science, University of Zagreb, Zvonimirova 8, 10000 Zagreb, Croatia

Received 5 April 1995

Abstract

Two chromium carbonyl complexes containing 1,4,8,11-tetrakis(methyldiphenylphosphino)-1,4,8,11-tetraazacyclotetradecane (TPTA) have been prepared: the binuclear species $[Cr(CO)_4]_2$ TPTA (1) and the tetranuclear species $[Cr(CO)_5]_4$ TPTA (2). The crystal structure of 2 has been determined.

Keywords: Chromium; Carbonyl; Tetraaza ligand; Crystal structure; Macrocycle

1. Introduction

The design and synthesis of polyazamacrocycles and their metal complexes have been the focus of research in recent years because of their possible relevance for the recognition of particular metal ions and in the modelling of metallobiosites. Spectroscopic and magnetic properties of polynuclear macrocyclic complexes have also been widely studied, as well as the potential application of such complexes in extraction and catalysis [1-4].

Among the polyazamacrocyclic ligands most studied are tetraazacycloalkanes. Of particular interest are those bearing functionalized side chains. Depending on the bonding strength of the ligating groups and the size of the cavity of the ring, they can combine with metal ions selectively. Various functional groups such as amine, hydroxyl, amide, carboxyl or nitrile have been attached to the side chains of nitrogen donors [5–9]. In addition, various substituents such as phenol, pyridyl, catechol,

* Corresponding author.

imidazole or amine have been attached to the carbon atoms of macrocyclic framework [10,11]. Some of these donor groups greatly change the properties of the complexes or affect the coordination geometry of the metal ions.

For some time we have been interested in the coordination chemistry of polydentate ligands [12-15]. Recently we reported the synthesis and characterization [14,15] of several molybdenum and tungsten complexes containing 1,4,8,11-tetrakis(methyldiphenylphosphino)-1,4,8,11-tetraazacyclotetradecane (TPTA), a tetraaza macrocyclic ligand with phosphorus donors in the pendant arms (Scheme 1). This compound has great potential for coordination with metal ions. Surprisingly, our work has provided the only information on the coordination chemistry of this ligand, which was first prepared in 1984 [16]. This may, at least in part, be due to the sensitivity of this ligand towards most of the common solvents, which means that great care is needed in handling it. In extension of our programme we describe below the preparation and spectroscopic characterization of the two chromium carbonyl complexes with this ligand, $[Cr(CO)_4]_7TPTA$ (1) and $[Cr(CO)_5]_4TPTA$ (2), and the crystal structure of the latter.



Scheme 1. 1,4,8,11-tetrakis(methyldiphenylphosphino)-1,4,8,11-tetraazacyclotetradecane (TPTA).

2. Results and discussion

2.1. Preparation and properties

Reactions between TPTA and $Cr(CO)_6$, leading to a binuclear complex $[Cr(CO)_4]_2$ TPTA (1) and a tetranuclear complex $[Cr(CO)_5]_4$ TPTA (2), took place in hot *n*-butanol under argon in a sealed Carius tube, according to Eqs. 1 and 2.

$$\frac{n - butanol}{110^{\circ}C, 72 h} [Cr(CO)_{4}]_{2}TPTA + 4CO$$
(1)

$$\frac{n - butanol}{130^{\circ}C, 48 h} [Cr(CO)_{5}]_{4}TPTA + 4CO$$
(2)

The outcome of the reaction is very sensitive to the ligand-to-metal ratios, the reaction temperature, and the heating and cooling rates. Inappropriate ratios or tem-

Table 1 Selected IR frequencies for the TPTA ligand and for the complexes

peratures can result in a mixture of 1 and 2 and some unchanged starting materials may be present, while use of a slightly higher temperature results in decomposition of the ligand by the cleavage of P-C bonds. However, when properly carried out, the reactions gave yellow crystals of 1 or 2, which were filtered off and stored under argon. The yield was satisfactory for 2, but rather poor for 1, probably because of the somewhat higher solubility of the binuclear complex.

When stored in air, both compounds gradually deteriorate. They are only sparingly soluble in all common polar and non-polar solvents and readily decompose in solution, which prevented from recording some spectroscopic data. For the same reason the complexes could not be recrystalized, but the products were usually pure as isolated and gave satisfactory elemental analyses. Magnetic susceptibility measurements confirmed that the complexes are diamagnetic, as expected for the Cr(0) state. Both are non-electrolytes in nitrobenzene.

The available information, including the IR data, indicated that both chromium complexes, the binuclear $[Cr(CO)_4]_2$ TPTA and the tetranuclear complex $[Cr(CO)_5]_4$ TPTA, have the same type of structure as the previously described binuclear and tetranuclear complexes of molybdenum and tungsten [14,15], with the ligand bound to the metal atoms through the soft phosphorus donors from the pendant arms.

In the case of 2, in order to confirm the structure unambiguously and to allow comparison with those of the corresponding, molybdenum and tungsten complexes, an X-ray diffraction study was undertaken and single crystals taken from the product obtained by carry-

IR frequency (cm ⁻¹) ^a			Tentative assignments		
TPTA [Cr(CO) ₄] ₂ TPTA		[Cr(CO) ₅]₄TPTA			
3070 w	3050 w	3060 w	ν (C–H) aromatic		
2950 m	2920 vw	2940 w	ν (C–H) aliphatic		
2800 m, sp	2795 m	2800 vw	$\nu_{\rm s}$ CH ₂ from N(CH ₂ -) ₃		
2770 m, sp					
2735 m, sp					
1960 w	2000 s, sp	2065 s, sp	Overtone and combination		
1880 w	1909 vs	1990 m	bands (for the ligand);		
1810 w	1891 vs	1930 vs	ν(CO)		
1760 w	1873 vs				
484 s 1482 w		1482 w	ν (CC) phenyl		
1435 vs	1434 s	1435 m	Vibration associated with $=P-C_6H_5$		
			and $\delta_s CH_2 - CH_2 P =)$		
1115 s	1110 w	1090 m	Vibration assoc. with $-P(C_6H_5)_2$		
740 s	746; 740 m	743 m	C-H out-of-plane bending		
695 vs	694 s	694 m	and ring bending		
	674 m	673 s	δ(Cr–CO)		
	642 s	652 vs			
	490 m	545 w	ν (Cr–C)		
	418 m	462 m			
	385 w				

ing out the reaction in more dilute solutions. In the case of 1, no crystals of suitable size and quality for singlecrystal X-ray determination were obtained in spite of many attempts.

2.2. IR spectra

The most characteristic vibrational frequencies for the ligand TPTA and the complexes $[Cr(CO)_4]_2TPTA$ and $[Cr(CO)_5]_4TPTA$ in the 4000–200 cm⁻¹ region, with tentative assignments, are listed in Table 1. In addition, the IR spectra (KBr pellet) of TPTA, 1 and 2 in the range 3500–1500 cm⁻¹ are shown in Fig. 1. The spectra clearly indicate the presence of Cr(CO)₄ and Cr(CO)₅ units for 1 and 2 respectively and confirm the coordination of the ligand to the metal through phosphorus donors in both complexes.

The IR spectrum of 1 exhibits a four-line pattern of peaks in the terminal CO stretching region, typical of complexes of the type cis-M(CO)₄L₂ with C_{2v} symmetry around the metal atoms. The spectrum of 2 contains a band pattern characteristic of an M(CO)₅ moiety of an idealized C_{4v} point-group symmetry, which shows $2A_1 + E$ IR-active carbonyl stretching modes [17]. The CO stretching frequencies of both complexes are significantly higher than those of the corresponding complexes containing nitrogen donors. This is in accord with the observation that ligands bonded through phosphorus



Fig. 1. IR spectra of the ligand TPTA and the complexes.



Scheme 2.

have a greater "direct donation" effect than do those bonded through nitrogen, as is expressed by an increase in the CO stretching frequencies [18–21]. The spectrum of the ligand shows a rather strong band at 1115 cm⁻¹ which can be assigned to the vibrations of the $-P(C_6H_5)_2$ group [22]. A significant shift in this absorption towards lower frequencies upon complexation (Table 1) is consistent with the bonding of phosphorus to the metal.

The characteristic three-band pattern for the C-H stretching vibrations of $:N(CH_2-)_3$ moiety [23] present in the spectrum of TPTA at 2800, 2770 and 2735 cm⁻¹ is changed into a single band in the spectrum of 1 (at 2795 cm⁻¹) and almost completely disappears in the case of 2, indicating that this vibration is blocked in the tetranuclear complex. Furthermore, all the other vibrations of the macrocyclic ring [24] are of higher intensity in the spectrum of 1 than in that of 2, in which some of them are completely missing. This can be associated with a more rigid conformation of the ring in the tetranuclear complex, in which four very bulky chains $(-CH_2PPh_2Cr(CO)_5)$ seek to be as widely separated as possible, hence contributing to the rigidity of the ring.

In the light of the analytical and spectroscopic data the structure shown in Scheme 2 can be proposed for $[Cr(CO)_4]_2$ TPTA.

2.3. X-ray structure of 2

The molecular structure of $[Cr(CO)_{s}]$, TPTA is shown in Fig. 2. The bond distances and angles are listed in Table 2. Each of the metal atoms in 2 is coordinated by five carbon atoms from the carbonyl groups and a phosphorus atom from one of the four methyldiphenylphosphino groups of the TPTA macrocycle, forming a distorted octahedron. The molecule has a crystallographically imposed centre of symmetry. This tetranuclear complex is isomorphous with $[Mo(CO)_5]_4$ TPTA and $[W(CO)_5]_4$ TPTA, with the volume of the unit cell 65 $Å^3$ and 43 $Å^3$ smaller than those for the Mo and W complexes respectively. The Cr-P distances in 2 are 0.138 and 0.144 Å shorter than the corresponding Mo-P distances, and 0.126 and 0.129 Å shorter than the corresponding W-P distances in the related complexes [15]. A similar shortening of 0.138 Å of the Cr-P distance relative to that of the Mo-P distance was found in the case of the isomorphous $(C_6H_5)_3PCr(CO)_5$ [25] and $(C_6H_5)_3PMo(CO)_5$ [26] complexes.

In 2 the Cr(1)-P(1) distance of 2.406(1) Å is signifi-

cantly larger than the Cr(2)-P(2) distance of 2.391(1) Å. Since the chemical environments around P(1) and P(2) are the same, the difference in bond length must be the result of steric effects. The phenyl rings C(11)-C(16)

Table 2

_ ...

Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (°) with estimated standard deviations i	in parentheses for	[Cr(CO) ₅] ₄ TPTA ^a
---	--------------------	---

Bond lengths			
Cr(1) - P(1)	2.406(1)	Cr(2)-P(2)	2.391(1)
Cr(1) - C(51)	1.871(5)	Cr(2)-C(61)	1.864(4)
Cr(1)-C(52)	1.904(6)	Cr(2) - C(62)	1.889(4)
Cr(1)-C(53)	1.874(5)	Cr(2) - C(63)	1.897(4)
Cr(1) - C(54)	1.870(4)	Cr(2) - C(64)	1.907(5)
Cr(1) - C(55)	1.894(4)	$C_{r}(2) = C(65)$	1 894(4)
P(1) - C(6)	1.876(3)	P(2) = C(7)	1.859(3)
P(1) - C(11)	1 834(4)	P(2) = C(31)	1.83/(3)
P(1) - C(21)	1.856(5)	P(2) - C(41)	1 826(3)
C(51), O(51)	1.020(5)	C(61) O(61)	1.020(5)
C(51) = O(51)	1 150(7)	C(62) = O(62)	1.176(5)
C(52) = O(52)	1.150(7)	C(62) = O(62)	1.135(5)
C(53) = O(53)	1.140(0)	C(63) = O(63)	1.143(3)
C(54) = O(54)	1.149(6)	C(04) = O(04)	1.130(7)
(55) - 0(55)	1.145(5)	O(65) - O(65)	1.131(5)
N(I) = C(I)	1.40/(4)	N(2) = C(7)	1.4/1(4)
N(1) - C(6)	1.453(5)	C(3) - C(4)	1.519(5)
C(1) - C(2)	1.532(5)	C(4) - C(5)	1.539(5)
C(2) - N(2)	1.473(4)	C(5) - N(1)'	1.467(4)
N(2)-C(3)	1.475(4)		
Average bond lengths within the	phenyl rings $(n = 1, 2, 3, 4)$		
$C_n(1) - C_n(2)$, $C_n(1) - C_n(6)$	p	1.389(3)	
$C_n(2) - C_n(3) C_n(5) - C_n(6)$		1 389(3)	
$C_{p}(3) - C_{p}(4) - C_{p}(4) - C_{p}(5)$		1 364(3)	
		1.50 ((5)	
Bond angles			
P(1) - Cr(1) - C(51)	175.9(1)	P(2) - Cr(2) - C(61)	177.6(1)
P(1)-Cr(1)-C(52)	98.0(2)	P(2) - Cr(2) - C(62)	86.4(1)
P(1)-Cr(1)-C(53)	91.8(2)	P(2) - Cr(2) - C(63)	94.5(1)
P(1)-Cr(1)-C(54)	88.1(1)	P(2)-Cr(2)-C(64)	89.1(1)
P(1)-Cr(1)-C(55)	88.0(1)	P(2)-Cr(2)-C(65)	91.7(1)
C(51)-Cr(1)-C(52)	85.7(2)	C(61)-Cr(2)-C(62)	92.7(2)
C(51)-Cr(1)-C(53)	90.1(2)	C(61)-Cr(2)-C(63)	87.8(2)
C(51)-Cr(1)-C(54)	88.2(2)	C(61)-Cr(2)-C(64)	91.8(2)
C(51)-Cr(1)-C(55)	90.0(2)	C(61)-Cr(2)-C(65)	86.0(2)
C(52)-Cr(1)-C(53)	89.7(2)	C(62) - Cr(2) - C(63)	90.0(2)
C(52)-Cr(1)-C(54)	173.9(2)	C(62) - Cr(2) - C(64)	175.3(2)
C(52)-Cr(1)-C(55)	92.6(2)	C(62)-Cr(2)-C(65)	87.8(2)
C(53)-Cr(1)-C(54)	89.9(2)	C(63) - Cr(2) - C(64)	91.7(2)
C(53) - Cr(1) - C(55)	177.7(2)	C(63) - Cr(2) - C(65)	173.3(2)
C(54)-Cr(1)-C(55)	87.7(2)	C(54) - Cr(2) - C(65)	91.0(2)
$C_{r}(1) - C(51) - O(51)$	179.1(4)	Cr(2) - C(61) - O(61)	179.3(4)
$C_{r}(1) - C(52) - O(52)$	175 4(5)	Cr(2) - C(62) - O(62)	178 1(4)
$C_{r}(1) = C(53) = O(53)$	178.0(5)	$C_{r}(2) = C(63) = O(63)$	174 4(4)
$C_{r}(1) = C(54) = O(54)$	176.5(3)	$C_{1}(2) = C(64) = O(64)$	1787(A)
$C_{1}(1) = C_{1}(55) = O_{1}(55)$	176.0(4)	$C_{1}(2) = C_{1}(0+) = O_{1}(0+)$	175.7(4)
$C_{1}(1) = C_{1}(3) = C_{1}(3)$	112 4(1)	$C_{1}(2) = C_{1}(0) = C_{1}(0)$	1/3.4(4)
$C_{1}(1) = F(1) = C_{1}(0)$	112.4(1)	$C_1(2) = F(2) = C(1)$	117.5(1)
$C_{1}(1) = F(1) = C_{1}(1)$	10.0(1)	$C_1(2) = F(2) = C(31)$	119.9(1)
C(1) = r(1) = C(21)	123.2(1)	$C_1(2) = r(2) = C(41)$	110.0(1)
	103.0(2)	C(7) = F(2) = C(31)	99.9(1) 102.4(1)
C(6) - P(1) - C(21)	100.7(2)	C(7) - P(2) - C(41)	103.4(1)
C(11) - P(1) - C(21)	103.8(2)	C(31) - P(2) - C(41)	103.6(1)
P(1)-C(11)-C(12)	120.3(3)	P(2) - C(31) - C(32)	120.6(2)
P(1)-C(11)-C(16)	120.9(3)	P(2)-C(31)-C(36)	120.9(3)
P(1)-C(21)-C(22)	121.7(3)	P(2)-C(41)-C(42)	122.5(3)
P(1)-C(21)-C(26)	120.8(3)	P(2)-C(41)-C(46)	119.0(2)
P(1)-C(6)-N(1)	114.5(2)	P(2)-C(7)-N(2)	109.7(2)

Table 2 (continued)

Bond angles				
$C(6) - N(1) - C(5)^{i}$	113.5(3)	C(2)-N(2)-C(3)	115.0(3)	
$C(1)-N(1)-C(5)^{i}$	115.2(3)	C(2)-N(2)-C(7)	112.7(3)	
C(1)-N(1)-C(6)	115.4(3)	C(3)-N(2)-C(7)	112.5(3)	
N(1)-C(1)-C(2)	111.6(3)	N(2)-C(3)-C(4)	112.6(3)	
C(1)-C(2)-N(2)	115.0(3)	C(3)-C(4)-C(5)	111.2(3)	
		$C(4)-C(5)-N(1)^{i}$	114.1(3)	
Average bond angles with	in the phenyl rings $(n = 1, 2, 3, 4)$			
Cn(2) - Cn(1) - Cn(3)		118.0(4)		
Cn(1)-Cn(2)-Cn(3), Cn(1))-Cn(6)-Cn(5)	120.6(1)		
Cn(2)-Cn(3)-Cn(4), Cn(4)	-Cn(5)-Cn(6)	120.5(1)		
Cn(3)-Cn(4)-Cn(5)		119.9(1)		

^a Symmetry code: (i) 1 - x, 1 - y, 1 - z.

and C(21)-C(26) are at angles of 9.9(2)° and 72.2(2)° respectively to the Cr(1) equatorial carbonyl plane, while the phenyl rings C(31)-C(36) and C(41)-C(46) form angles of 69.3(2)° and 21.2(1)° respectively with the Cr(2) equatorial carbonyl plane. The largest angle involves the C(21)-C(26) ring, and the effect of steric repulsions between the phenyl and CO(52) carbonyl group can be seen in the bond lengths and angles involving these two groups. The hydrogen atom on C(26) is at a distance of 2.35(1) Å from C(52) (2.39(1) Å from O(52)). The close approach causes bending of the CO(52) carbonyl group away from the phenyl, with the P(1)-Cr(1)-C(52) angle of $98.0(2)^{\circ}$ showing the largest distortion from ideal octahedral geometry. The steric repulsion causes lengthening of the Cr(1)-P(1)bond and distortion of the P(1) tetrahedron, the Cr(1)-P(1)-C(21) angle being 123.2(1)°. The steric effects on the Cr(2) octahedron are small, since the C(36) and H(36) atoms point between the CO(63) and CO(64)carbonyl groups (Fig. 3).

A correlation of the Cr-P bond lengths and the CO stretching frequency (E mode) was suggested by Plastas et al. [25] for compounds of the type $LCr(CO)_{5}$. They observed Cr-P bond lengths of 2.422(1) Å and 2.309(1) Å in $(C_6H_5)_3PCr(CO)_5$ and $(C_6H_5O)_3PCr$ (CO)₅ respectively, and the CO stretching frequencies for these two compounds were found at 1942 cm^{-1} and 1960 cm⁻¹ [27]. The Cr-P bond lengths and the stretching frequency for the present complex do not fit this correlation. From the observed CO stretching frequency of 1930 cm^{-1} in 2, the Cr-P bond lengths should be greater than 2.422(1) Å, but both values are significantly smaller. The unstrained Cr(2)-P(2) bond length is similar to that of 2.389(2) Å in $(Ph_2PC_5H_4)_2Fe[Cr(CO)_5]_2$ [28] and to 2.386(2) Å in $Cr(CO)_{5}(dpppzO)$ (dpppzO = 3-diphenylphosphino-6diphenylphospholylpyridazine), for which the same CO stretching frequency of 1930 cm⁻¹ was observed [29]. Both Cr-P bonds in 2 are longer than those in $Cr(CO)_{5}P(CH_{2}CH_{2}CN)_{3}$ (d(Cr-P) = 2.364(1) Å; $\nu(CO) = 1944 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ [26].

The mean $Cr-CO_{ax}$ and $Cr-CO_{eq}$ distances are 1.868(4) Å and 1.891(5) Å respectively. Shortening of the Cr-C distances *trans* to phosphorus compared with those in the equatorial plane is caused by the greater degree of Cr-C π back bonding resulting from the weaker π acidity of the ligand compared with that of the carbonyl group. The M-C-O angles deviate slightly from linearity and are in the range 174.4(4)-179.3(4)°.

There are no significant differences between corresponding bond lengths and angles in 2 and those in free TPTA or the four complexes $[M(CO)_4]_2$ TPTA and $[M(CO)_5]_4$ TPTA (M = Mo or W) [14,15]. The conformation of the macrocyclic ring in these complexes was discussed earlier [15].

There is a decrease of the α endocyclic angle in the phenyl rings (average value, 118.0(4)°) caused by the σ -electron release from phosphorus [30].

The molecules are connected only by van der Waals contacts.



Fig. 2. ORTEP drawing of $[Cr(CO)_5]_4$ TPTA with the atom numbering scheme. The thermal ellipsoids are at the 50% probability level.



Fig. 3. Views along the Cr(1)-P(1) and Cr(2)-P(2) bonds in 2.

3. Experimental details

3.1. Materials

The TPTA was prepared as previously described [16], involving reaction of 1,4,8,11-tetraazacyclotetradecane with diphenylphosphine, both of which were used as received (Fluka). Chromium hexacarbonyl (Merck) was resublimed prior to use. All the solvents were purified and dried by standard methods and distilled under argon before use.

3.2. Physical measurements

Elemental analyses for C, H, N and Cr were carried out at the Central Analytical Service of the Ruđer Bošković Institute. IR spectra on KBr pellets were recorded on a Perkin–Elmer model 580B spectrophotometer in the region 4000–200 cm⁻¹. The electrical conductivity of 10^{-3} M solutions in nitrobenzene were measured with a Tacussel conductivity bridge, type Cd 7, at 25°C. Magnetic susceptibility data were obtained at 22°C by the Gouy method. The complexes are diamagnetic.

3.3. Preparation of 1 and 2

3.3.1. $[Cr(CO)_4]_2TPTA(1)$

A mixture of 0.055 g (0.25 mmol) of $Cr(CO)_6$, 0.099 g (0.10 mmol) of TPTA and 7 cm³ of dry *n*-butanol was placed in a 20 cm Carius tube, which was thoroughly degassed and filled with argon; it was then sealed and kept at 110°C for 3 days. The light-yellow crystals of $[Cr(CO)_4]_2$ TPTA were formed with a yield of 22% (0.030 g). They were filtered off, washed with a few cubic centimetres of dry *n*-butanol and dried in vacuo.

Anal. Found: C, 63.58; H, 5.21; N, 4.09; Cr, 7.62.

 $C_{70}H_{68}Cr_2N_4O_8P_4$ calc.: C, 63.63; H, 5.19; N, 4.24; Cr, 7.87%.

3.3.2. $[Cr(CO)_5]_4TPTA(2)$

A mixture of 0.099 g (0.45 mmol) of $Cr(CO)_6$, 0.110 g (0.11 mmol) of TPTA and 6 cm³ of dry *n*-butanol was placed in a 20 cm Carius tube under argon. The

Table 3

	Cry	ystal	data	and	details	of	data	collection	and	refinement	for
--	-----	-------	------	-----	---------	----	------	------------	-----	------------	-----

Empirical formula $Cr_4C_{82}H_{68}N_4O_{20}P_4$ Formula weight1761.35Crystal systemTriclinicSpace group $P\overline{1}$ a (Å)10.134(3) b (Å)13.242(5) c (Å)16.320(5) α (°)84.37(2) β (°)76.00(3) γ (°)77.92(2) V (Å3)2075(1) Z 1 D_{calc} (g cm ⁻³)1.409 $F(000)$ 904Face indices, distances(010), (0 $\overline{1}$ 0 0.248; (001), (0 $\overline{0}$])from centroid (mm)0.188; (111), ($\overline{111}$) 0.300 μ (cm ⁻¹)6.4Range of collected data (°) $4 < \theta < 60$ Scan type ω Number of collected12275reflections1275reflections519reflections with $I > 3\sigma(I)$ 0.040 $R_w = [\Sigmaw(F_o - F_c)^2 / \Sigmaw(F_o)^2]^{1/2}$ 0.043Weighting scheme w 1.0Largest final shift/estimated0.002standard deviationMaximum final $\Delta \rho$ (electrons Å ⁻³) 0.34	Crystal data and details of data con	ection and refinement for 2
Formula weight1761.35Crystal systemTriclinicSpace group $P\bar{1}$ a (Å)10.134(3) b (Å)13.242(5) c (Å)16.320(5) α (°)84.37(2) β (°)76.00(3) γ (°)77.92(2) V (Å3)2075(1) Z 1 D_{calc} (g cm ⁻³)1.409 $F(000)$ 904Face indices, distances(010), (010) 0.248; (001), (001)from centroid (mm)0.188; (111), (111) 0.300 μ (cm ⁻¹)6.4Range of collected data (°) $4 < \theta < 60$ Scan type ω Number of collected12275reflections1275reflections519reflections with $I > 3\sigma(I)$ 0.040 $R_w = [\sum w(F_o - F_c)^2 / \sum w(F_o)^2]^{1/2}$ 0.043Weighting scheme w 1.0Largest final shift/estimated0.002standard deviationMaximum final $\Delta \rho$ (electrons Å ⁻³) 0.34	Empirical formula	Cr ₄ C ₈₂ H ₆₈ N ₄ O ₂₀ P ₄
Crystal systemTriclinicSpace group $P\bar{1}$ $a(\dot{A})$ 10.134(3) $b(\dot{A})$ 13.242(5) $c(\dot{A})$ 16.320(5) $a(^{\circ})$ 84.37(2) $\beta(^{\circ})$ 76.00(3) $\gamma(^{\circ})$ 77.92(2) $V(\dot{A}^3)$ 2075(1) Z 1 D_{calc} (g cm ⁻³)1.409 $F(000)$ 904Face indices, distances(010), (0 $\bar{1}0$) 0.248; (001), (00 $\bar{1}$)from centroid (mm)0.188; (111), ($\bar{1}1\bar{1}$) 0.300 μ (cm ⁻¹)6.4Range of collected data (°) $4 < \theta < 60$ Scan type ω Number of collected12275reflections12275reflections519reflections with $I > 3\sigma(I)$ 0.040 $R_w = [\sum w(F_o - F_c)^2 / \sum w(F_o)^2]^{1/2}$ 0.043Weighting scheme w 1.0Largest final shift/estimated0.002standard deviationMaximum final $\Delta \rho$ (electrons \dot{A}^{-3}) 0.34	Formula weight	1761.35
Space group $P\bar{1}$ a (Å) 10.134(3) b (Å) 13.242(5) c (Å) 16.320(5) α (°) 84.37(2) β (°) 76.00(3) γ (°) 77.92(2) V (Å ³) 2075(1) Z 1 D_{calc} (g cm ⁻³) 1.409 $F(000)$ 904 Face indices, distances (010), (010) 0.248; (001), (001) from centroid (mm) 0.188; (111), (111) 0.300 μ (cm ⁻¹) 6.4 Range of collected data (°) $4 < \theta < 60$ Scan type ω Number of collected 12275 reflections 12275 reflections with $I > 3\sigma(I)$ Number of parameters S19 refined $R = \sum F_o - F_c /\sum F_o $ 0.040 $R_w = [\sum w(F_o - F_c)^2 / \sum w(F_o)^2]^{1/2}$ 0.043 Weighting scheme w 1.0 Largest final shift/estimated 0.002 standard deviation Maximum final $\Delta \rho$ (electrons Å ⁻³)	Crystal system	Triclinic
a (Å) 10.134(3) b (Å) 13.242(5) c (Å) 16.320(5) α (°) 84.37(2) β (°) 76.00(3) γ (°) 77.92(2) V (Å ³) 2075(1) Z 1 D_{calc} (g cm ⁻³) 1.409 $F(000)$ 904 Face indices, distances (010), (010) 0.248; (001), (001) from centroid (mm) 0.188; (111), (111) 0.300 μ (cm ⁻¹) 6.4 Range of collected data (°) $4 < \theta < 60$ Scan type ω Number of collected 12275 reflections 12275 reflections with $I > 3\sigma(I)$ Number of parameters 519 refined $R = \sum F_o - F_c / \sum F_o $ 0.040 $R_w = [\sum w(F_o - F_c)^2 / \sum w(F_o)^2]^{1/2}$ 0.043 Weighting scheme w 1.0 Largest final shift/estimated 0.002 standard deviation Maximum final $\Delta \rho$ (electrons Å ⁻³)	Space group	PĪ
b (Å) 13.242(5) c (Å) 16.320(5) α (°) 84.37(2) β (°) 76.00(3) γ (°) 77.92(2) V (Å3) 2075(1) Z 1 D_{calc} (g cm ⁻³) 1.409 $F(000)$ 904 Face indices, distances (010), (010) 0.248; (001), (001) from centroid (mm) 0.188; (111), (111) 0.300 μ (cm ⁻¹) 6.4 Range of collected data (°) $4 < \theta < 60$ Scan type ω Number of collected 12275 reflections 5841 reflections with $I > 3\sigma(I)$ Number of parameters 519 refined $R = \sum F_o - F_c /\Sigma F_o $ 0.040 $R_w = [\Sigmaw(F_o - F_c)^2/\Sigmaw(F_o)^2]^{1/2}$ 0.043 Weighting scheme w 1.0 1.0 Largest final shift/estimated 0.002 standard deviation Maximum final $\Delta \rho$ (electrons Å ⁻³) 0.34	a (Å)	10.134(3)
c (Å) 16.320(5) α (°) 84.37(2) β (°) 76.00(3) γ (°) 77.92(2) V (Å ³) 2075(1) Z 1 D_{calc} (g cm ⁻³) 1.409 F(000) 904 Face indices, distances (010), (010) 0.248; (001), (001) from centroid (mm) 0.188; (111), (111) 0.300 μ (cm ⁻¹) 6.4 Range of collected data (°) $4 < \theta < 60$ Scan type ω Number of collected 12275 reflections 1 Number of parameters 519 refined $R = \sum F_o - F_c / \sum F_o $ 0.040 $R_w = [\sum w(F_o - F_c)^2 / \sum w(F_o)^2]^{1/2}$ 0.043 Weighting scheme w 1.0 1.0 Largest final shift/estimated 0.0002 standard deviation Maximum final $\Delta \rho$ (electrons Å ⁻³) 0.34	b (Å)	13.242(5)
α (°) 84.37(2) β (°) 76.00(3) γ (°) 77.92(2) V (Å ³) 2075(1) Z 1 D_{calc} (g cm ⁻³) 1.409 $F(000)$ 904 Face indices, distances (010), (010) 0.248; (001), (001) from centroid (mm) 0.188; (111), (111) 0.300 μ (cm ⁻¹) 6.4 Range of collected data (°) $4 < \theta < 60$ Scan type ω Number of collected 12275 reflections 1 Number of unique 5841 reflections with $I > 3\sigma(I)$ 519 refined $R = \sum F_o - F_c / \sum F_o $ 0.040 $R_w = [\Sigmaw(F_o - F_c)^2 / \Sigmaw(F_o)^2]^{1/2}$ 0.043 Weighting scheme w 1.0 1.0 Largest final shift/estimated 0.002 standard deviation Maximum final $\Delta \rho$ (electrons Å ⁻³)	c (Å)	16.320(5)
β (°) 76.00(3) γ (°) 77.92(2) V (Å ³) 2075(1) Z 1 D_{calc} (g cm ⁻³) 1.409 F(000) 904 Face indices, distances (010), (010) 0.248; (001), (001) from centroid (mm) 0.188; (111), (111) 0.300 μ (cm ⁻¹) 6.4 Range of collected data (°) 4 < θ < 60	α (°)	84.37(2)
γ (°) 77.92(2) V (Å ³) 2075(1) Z 1 D_{calc} (g cm ⁻³) 1.409 $F(000)$ 904 Face indices, distances (010), (010) 0.248; (001), (001) from centroid (mm) 0.188; (111), (111) 0.300 μ (cm ⁻¹) 6.4 Range of collected data (°) $4 < \theta < 60$ Scan type ω Number of collected 12275 reflections 1 Number of parameters 519 refined $R = \sum F_o - F_c / \sum F_o $ 0.040 $R_w = [\sum w(F_o - F_c)^2 / \sum w(F_o)^2]^{1/2}$ 0.043 Weighting scheme w 1.0 Largest final shift/estimated 0.002 standard deviation Maximum final $\Delta \rho$ (electrons Å ⁻³) 0.34	β (°)	76.00(3)
V (Å ³) 2075(1) Z 1 D_{calc} (g cm ⁻³) 1.409 $F(000)$ 904 Face indices, distances (010), (010) 0.248; (001), (001) from centroid (mm) 0.188; (111), (111) 0.300 μ (cm ⁻¹) 6.4 Range of collected data (°) $4 < \theta < 60$ Scan type ω Number of collected 12275 reflections 1 Number of parameters 519 reflections with $I > 3\sigma(I)$ 0.040 $R = \sum F_o - F_c / \sum F_o $ 0.040 $R_w = [\sum w(F_o - F_c)^2 / \sum w(F_o)^2]^{1/2}$ 0.043 Weighting scheme w 1.0 Largest final shift/estimated 0.002 standard deviation Maximum final $\Delta \rho$ (electrons Å ⁻³) 0.34	γ (°)	77.92(2)
$ \begin{array}{ll} Z & 1 \\ D_{calc} \left(g cm^{-3} \right) & 1.409 \\ F(000) & 904 \\ \hline \\ Face indices, distances & (010), (0\bar{1}0) 0.248; (001), (00\bar{1}) \\ from centroid (mm) & 0.188; (111), (\bar{1}\bar{1}\bar{1}) 0.300 \\ \mu \left(cm^{-1} \right) & 6.4 \\ \hline \\ Range of collected data (°) & 4 < \theta < 60 \\ \hline \\ Scan type & \omega \\ \hline \\ Number of collected & 12275 \\ reflections \\ \hline \\ Number of unique & 5841 \\ reflections with I > 3\sigma(I) \\ \hline \\ Number of parameters & 519 \\ refined \\ R = \sum F_o - F_c / \sum F_o & 0.040 \\ R_w = [\sum w(F_o - F_c)^2 / \sum w(F_o)^2]^{1/2} & 0.043 \\ \hline \\ Weighting scheme w & 1.0 \\ \hline \\ Largest final shift/estimated & 0.002 \\ standard deviation \\ \hline \\ Maximum final \Delta \rho (electrons Å^{-3}) 0.34 \\ \hline \end{array} $	V (Å ³)	2075(1)
$\begin{array}{ll} D_{\text{calc}}\left(\text{g cm}^{-3}\right) & 1.409 \\ F(000) & 904 \\ \hline \text{Face indices, distances} & (010), (0\bar{1}0) \ 0.248; (001), (00\bar{1}) \\ \text{from centroid (mm)} & 0.188; (111), (\bar{1}\bar{1}\bar{1}) \ 0.300 \\ \mu \ (\text{cm}^{-1}) & 6.4 \\ \hline \text{Range of collected data} (^{\circ}) & 4 < \theta < 60 \\ \hline \text{Scan type} & \omega \\ \hline \text{Number of collected} & 12275 \\ \hline \text{reflections} \\ \hline \text{Number of unique} & 5841 \\ \hline \text{reflections with } I > 3\sigma(I) \\ \hline \text{Number of parameters} & 519 \\ \hline \text{refined} \\ R = \sum F_o - F_c / \sum F_o & 0.040 \\ R_w = [\sum w(F_o - F_c)^2 / \sum w(F_o)^2]^{1/2} & 0.043 \\ \hline \text{Weighting scheme } w & 1.0 \\ \hline \text{Largest final shift/estimated} & 0.002 \\ \hline \text{standard deviation} \\ \hline \text{Maximum final } \Delta \rho \ (\text{electrons } \text{\AA}^{-3}) \ 0.34 \\ \hline \end{array}$	Z	1
$F(000)$ 904Face indices, distances(010), (010) 0.248; (001), (001)from centroid (mm)0.188; (111), (111) 0.300 μ (cm ⁻¹)6.4Range of collected data (°) $4 < \theta < 60$ Scan type ω Number of collected12275reflections7Number of unique5841reflections with $I > 3\sigma(I)$ Number of parameters519refined $R = \sum F_o - F_c / \sum F_o $ $R = \sum F_o - F_c / \sum F_o $ 0.040 $R_w = [\sum w(F_o - F_c)^2 / \sum w(F_o)^2]^{1/2}$ 0.043Weighting scheme w1.0Largest final shift/estimated0.002standard deviationMaximum final $\Delta \rho$ (electrons Å ⁻³) 0.34	$D_{\rm calc} ({\rm g}{\rm cm}^{-3})$	1.409
Face indices, distances(010), (010) 0.248; (001), (001)from centroid (mm)0.188; (111), (111) 0.300 μ (cm ⁻¹)6.4Range of collected data (°) $4 < \theta < 60$ Scan type ω Number of collected12275reflections5841Number of unique5841reflections with $I > 3\sigma(I)$ Number of parameters519refined $R = \sum F_o - F_c /\sum F_o $ $R = \sum F_o - F_c ^2/\sum w(F_o)^2 ^{1/2}$ 0.043Weighting scheme w1.0Largest final shift/estimated0.002standard deviationMaximum final $\Delta \rho$ (electrons Å ⁻³) 0.34	F(000)	904
from centroid (mm)0.188; (111), (111) 0.300 μ (cm ⁻¹)6.4Range of collected data (°) $4 < \theta < 60$ Scan type ω Number of collected12275reflections12275Number of unique5841reflections with $I > 3\sigma(I)$ Number of parameters519refined $R = \sum F_o - F_c / \sum F_o $ $R = [\sum w(F_o - F_c)^2 / \sum w(F_o)^2]^{1/2}$ 0.043Weighting scheme w1.0Largest final shift/estimated0.002standard deviationMaximum final $\Delta \rho$ (electrons Å ⁻³) 0.34	Face indices, distances	(010), (010) 0.248; (001), (001)
μ (cm ⁻¹)6.4Range of collected data (°) $4 < \theta < 60$ Scan type ω Number of collected12275reflections12275Number of unique5841reflections with $I > 3\sigma(I)$ Number of parameters519refined $R = \sum F_o - F_c / \sum F_o $ $R = [\sum w(F_o - F_c)^2 / \sum w(F_o)^2]^{1/2}$ 0.043Weighting scheme w1.0Largest final shift/estimated0.002standard deviationMaximum final $\Delta \rho$ (electrons Å ⁻³)	from centroid (mm)	0.188; (111), (111) 0.300
Range of collected data (°) $4 < \theta < 60$ Scan type ω Number of collected12275reflections5841Number of unique5841reflections with $I > 3\sigma(I)$ Number of parameters519refined $R = \sum F_o - F_c / \sum F_o $ 0.040 $R_w = [\sum w(F_o - F_c)^2 / \sum w(F_o)^2]^{1/2}$ 0.043Weighting scheme w1.0Largest final shift/estimated0.002standard deviationMaximum final $\Delta \rho$ (electrons Å ⁻³)0.34	μ (cm ⁻¹)	6.4
Scan type ω Number of collected12275reflections12275Number of unique5841reflections with $I > 3\sigma(I)$ Number of parameters519refined $R = \sum F_o - F_c / \sum F_o $ $R = [\sum w(F_o - F_c)^2 / \sum w(F_o)^2]^{1/2}$ 0.040 $R_w = [\sum w(F_o - F_c)^2 / \sum w(F_o)^2]^{1/2}$ 0.043Weighting scheme w1.0Largest final shift/estimated0.002standard deviationMaximum final $\Delta \rho$ (electrons Å ⁻³)	Range of collected data (°)	$4 < \theta < 60$
Number of collected12275reflections12275Number of unique5841reflections with $I > 3\sigma(I)$ 10Number of parameters519refined $R = \sum F_o - F_c /\sum F_o $ 0.040 $R_w = [\sum w(F_o - F_c)^2 / \sum w(F_o)^2]^{1/2}$ 0.043Weighting scheme w1.0Largest final shift/estimated0.002standard deviationMaximum final $\Delta \rho$ (electrons Å ⁻³)	Scan type	ω
reflections Number of unique 5841 reflections with $I > 3\sigma(I)$ Number of parameters 519 refined $R = \sum F_o - F_c /\sum F_o $ 0.040 $R_w = [\sum w(F_o - F_c)^2 / \sum w(F_o)^2]^{1/2}$ 0.043 Weighting scheme w 1.0 Largest final shift/estimated 0.002 standard deviation Maximum final $\Delta \rho$ (electrons Å ⁻³) 0.34	Number of collected	12275
Number of unique5841reflections with $I > 3\sigma(I)$ Number of parameters519refined $R = \sum F_o - F_c /\sum F_o $ 0.040 $R_w = [\sum w(F_o - F_c)^2 / \sum w(F_o)^2]^{1/2}$ 0.043Weighting scheme w1.0Largest final shift/estimated0.002standard deviationMaximum final $\Delta \rho$ (electrons Å ⁻³)	reflections	
reflections with $I > 3\sigma(I)$ Number of parameters 519 refined $R = \sum F_o - F_c / \sum F_o $ 0.040 $R_w = [\sum w(F_o - F_c)^2 / \sum w(F_o)^2]^{1/2}$ 0.043 Weighting scheme w 1.0 Largest final shift/estimated 0.002 standard deviation Maximum final $\Delta \rho$ (electrons Å ⁻³) 0.34	Number of unique	5841
Number of parameters519refined $R = \Sigma F_o - F_c /\Sigma F_o $ 0.040 $R_w = [\Sigma w(F_o - F_c)^2 / \Sigma w(F_o)^2]^{1/2}$ 0.043Weighting scheme w1.0Largest final shift/estimated0.002standard deviationMaximum final $\Delta \rho$ (electrons Å ⁻³)	reflections with $I > 3\sigma(I)$	
$R = \sum F_o - F_c / \sum F_o = 0.040$ $R_w = [\sum w(F_o - F_c)^2 / \sum w(F_o)^2]^{1/2} = 0.043$ Weighting scheme w 1.0 Largest final shift/estimated 0.002 standard deviation Maximum final $\Delta \rho$ (electrons Å ⁻³) 0.34	Number of parameters refined	519
$R_{w} = [\sum w(F_{o} - F_{c})^{2} / \sum w(F_{o})^{2}]^{1/2} 0.043$ Weighting scheme $w \qquad 1.0$ Largest final shift/estimated $\qquad 0.002$ standard deviation Maximum final $\Delta \rho$ (electrons Å ⁻³) 0.34	$R = \sum F_{\alpha} - F_{\alpha} / \sum F_{\alpha} $	0.040
Weighting scheme w1.0Largest final shift/estimated0.002standard deviationMaximum final $\Delta \rho$ (electrons Å ⁻³) 0.34	$R_{\rm w} = \left[\sum w(F_{\rm o} - F_{\rm o})^2 / \sum w(F_{\rm o})^2\right]^{1/2}$	0.043
Largest final shift/estimated0.002standard deviationMaximum final $\Delta \rho$ (electrons Å ⁻³) 0.34	Weighting scheme w	1.0
standard deviation Maximum final $\Delta \rho$ (electrons Å ⁻³) 0.34	Largest final shift/estimated	0.002
Maximum final $\Delta \rho$ (electrons Å ⁻³) 0.34	standard deviation	
	Maximum final $\Delta \rho$ (electrons Å ⁻³)	0.34

Table 4 Positional parameters and equivalent isotropic thermal parameters, with estimated standard deviation in parentheses for $[Cr(CO)_{5}]_{a}TPTA$

	x	у	z	U_{eq}^{a}
				$(Å^2)$
$\overline{\alpha(1)}$	0.05701(()	0.00501(5)	0.50170(4)	0.04(2(1))
Cr(1)	-0.25/01(6)	0.80581(5)	0.59170(4)	0.0463(1)
Cr(2)	0.52278(5)	0.13793(4)	0.83530(4)	0.0376(1)
P(1)	- 0.05413(9)	0.72919(7)	0.64389(5)	0.0375(2)
P(2)	0.32493(8)	0.27040(6)	0.82777(5)	0.0307(2)
N(1)	0.2189(3)	0.6230(2)	0.5861(2)	0.039(1)
C(1)	0.2546(3)	0.5104(2)	0.5998(2)	0.041(1)
C(2)	0.3482(3)	0.4801(2)	0.6627(2)	0.039(1)
N(2)	0.4005(3)	0.3683(2)	0.6733(2)	0.035(1)
C(3)	0.4807(3)	0.3178(2)	0.5951(2)	0.039(1)
C(4)	0.6026(4)	0.3681(3)	0.5509(2)	0.048(1)
C(5)	0.6670(3)	0.3278(3)	0.4619(2)	0.040(1)
C(6)	0.0892(3)	0.6613(3)	0.5602(2)	0.043(1)
C(7)	0.0072(3)	0.0015(3)	0.5002(2)	0.045(1)
C(7)	0.2927(3)	0.3124(2) 0.8579(2)	0.7211(2) 0.5555(2)	0.055(1)
O(51)	-0.4210(3)	0.0370(3)	0.5555(3)	0.000(1)
0(51)	-0.5224(3)	0.8880(2)	0.5559(2)	0.082(1)
C(52)	-0.2271(5)	0.9443(4)	0.5749(4)	0.076(1)
0(52)	-0.2168(5)	1.0296(3)	0.5625(3)	0.12/(2)
C(53)	-0.1618(5)	0.7808(4)	0.4797(3)	0.073(1)
O(53)	-0.1065(4)	0.7639(4)	0.4110(2)	0.119(2)
C(54)	-0.3033(4)	0.6748(3)	0.6037(3)	0.059(1)
O(54)	-0.3385(4)	0.5967(3)	0.6110(3)	0.092(1)
C(55)	- 0.3547(4)	0.8255(3)	0.7054(3)	0.056(1)
O(55)	- 0.4191(4)	0.8341(3)	0.7733(2)	0.087(1)
C(11)	- 0.0944(3)	0.6285(3)	0.7263(2)	0.041(1)
C(12)	-0.0923(4)	0.5288(3)	0.7044(3)	0.050(1)
C(13)	-0.1354(4)	0.4549(3)	0.7668(3)	0.064(1)
C(14)	-0.1824(5)	0.4803(4)	0.8492(3)	0.071(1)
C(15)	-0.1890(5)	0.5784(4)	0.8710(3)	0.067(1)
C(16)	-0.1450(4)	0.6527(3)	0.8107(2)	0.052(1)
C(21)	0.0410(4)	0.8038(3)	0.6891(2)	0.044(1)
C(22)	0.1313(4)	0.7568(3)	0.7401(2)	0.054(1)
C(23)	0.2114(5)	0.8129(4)	0.7673(3)	0.070(1)
C(24)	0 2046(6)	0.9143(5)	0.7449(4)	0.097(2)
C(25)	0.1196(8)	0.9149(5)	0.742(4) 0.6942(5)	0.097(2) 0.122(3)
C(25)	0.0371(6)	0.9071(4)	0.6668(4)	0.022(3)
C(20)	0.0371(0) 0.6720(4)	0.2071(4)	0.8424(3)	0.057(1)
O(61)	0.0720(4) 0.7620(3)	-0.0360(3)	0.0424(3) 0.8471(2)	0.037(1)
C(62)	0.7029(3)	-0.0302(3)	0.0471(2)	0.069(1)
O(62)	0.4922(4)	0.0830(3)	0.7393(2)	0.040(1)
O(02)	0.4099(4)	0.0548(2)	0.0820(2)	0.070(1)
O(03)	0.0511(4)	0.2120(3)	0.7042(3)	0.034(1)
0(63)	0.7365(3)	0.2503(3)	0.7211(2)	0.085(1)
C(64)	0.5384(5)	0.19/2(3)	0.9336(3)	0.061(1)
O(64)	0.5459(5)	0.2312(3)	0.9928(2)	0.100(1)
O(65)	0.3498(4)	-0.0092(2)	0.9407(2)	0.088(1)
C(65)	0.4104(4)	0.0492(3)	0.9027(2)	0.053(1)
C(31)	0.3090(3)	0.3968(2)	0.8700(2)	0.035(1)
C(32)	0.1789(4)	0.4599(3)	0.8960(2)	0.044(1)
C(33)	0.1681(4)	0.5584(3)	0.9221(2)	0.053(1)
C(34)	0.2854(5)	0.5954(3)	0.9228(3)	0.061(1)
C(35)	0.4130(5)	0.5344(3)	0.8969(3)	0.065(1)
C(36)	0.4254(4)	0.4356(3)	0.8703(3)	0.052(1)
C(41)	0.1655(3)	0.2279(2)	0.8842(2)	0.037(1)
C(42)	0.0763(4)	0.1992(3)	0.8430(3)	0.062(1)
C(43)	-0.0370(5)	0.1573(4)	0.8892(4)	0.084(2)
C(44)	-0.0605(5)	0.1442(4)	0.9753(4)	0.077(1)
C(45)	0.0261(5)	0 1729(3)	1 0169(3)	0.064(1)
C(45)	0.0201(3)	0.2150(3)	0 0721(2)	0.00-(1)
	0.1004(4)	0.2130(3)	(0.7721(2))	0.000(1)

^a $U_{\text{eq}} = \frac{1}{3} \sum_i \sum_j U_{ij} a_i^* a_j^* \boldsymbol{a}_i \cdot \boldsymbol{a}_j.$

tube was sealed, slowly heated to 130° C and kept at this temperature for 48 h; it was then allowed to cool to room temperature. The pale-yellow crystals that separated were filtered off, washed with a few cubic centimetres of dry *n*-butanol and dried in vacuo. The yield was 0.103 g (58%).

Anal. Found: C, 55.93; H, 3.84; N, 3.12; Cr, 11.65. $C_{82}H_{68}Cr_4N_4O_{20}P_4$ calc.: C, 55.92; H, 3.89; N, 3.18; Cr, 11.81%.

3.4. Crystal structure determination

X-ray data were collected at room temperature on a Philips PW1100 diffractometer (modified by STOE) using graphite-monochromated Mo K α radiation ($\lambda =$ 0.71073 Å). Unit-cell parameters were obtained by least-squares refinement of 28 reflections (20.2° < 2 θ < 33.6°). Crystal data and details of the data collection and refinement are given in Table 3. Standard reflections monitored every 90 min showed no significant change in intensities. The intensities were corrected for Lorentz and polarization effects but not for absorption or extinction. The small-angle reflections 101 and 011 were omitted from calculations in the final cycles.

The positions of the heavy-metal atoms and the macrocyclic ring atoms taken from $[Mo(CO)_5]_4$ TPTA were used as a starting model. Subsequent full-matrix least-squares refinement and difference Fourier maps revealed all non-hydrogen atoms. The hydrogen atom positions were placed in calculated positions (C-H, 1.08 Å, riding model) with grouped isotropic thermal parameters. All non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically. Atomic scattering factors and anomalous dispersion coefficients were taken from the *International Tables for X-ray Crystallography* [31]. Computing was carried out on an IBM PC/AT-compatible computer using SHELX76 [32].

Atomic coordinates are given in Table 4. Lists of anisotropic thermal parameters, hydrogen atom coordinates, and of bond lengths and angles in the phenyl rings have been deposited with the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre. Tables of least-squares planar equations are available from the authors.

Acknowledgment

We thank the Ministry of Science and Technology of the Republic of Croatia for financial support.

References

- [1] R.D. Hancock and A.E. Martell, Chem. Rev., 89 (1989) 1875.
- [2] L.F. Lindoy, The Chemistry of Macrocyclic Ligand Complexes, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990.

- [3] E. Kimura, Tetrahedron, 48 (1992) 6175.
- [4] R.D. Hancock, in A.F. Williams, C. Floriani and A.E. Merbach (eds.), *Perspective in Coordination Chemistry*, Verlag Helvetica Chimica Acta, Basel, 1992.
- [5] D. Tschudin, A. Basak and T.A. Kaden, *Helv. Chim. Acta*, 71 (1988) 100.
- [6] I. Murase, I. Ueda, N. Marubayashi, S. Kida, N. Matsumoto, M. Kudo, M. Toyohara, K. Hiate and M. Mikuriya, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., (1990) 2763.
- [7] J. Chapman, G. Ferguson, J.F. Gallagher, M.C. Jennings and D. Parker, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., (1992) 345.
- [8] B. Dey, J.H. Coates, P.A. Duckworth, S.F. Lincoln and K.P. Wainwright, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 214 (1993) 77.
- [9] L.H. Tan, M.R. Taylor, K.P. Wainwright and P.A. Duckworth, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., (1993) 2921.
- [10] E. Kimura, Y. Kurogi and T. Takahashi, Inorg. Chem., 30 (1991) 4117.
- [11] E. Kimura, M. Haruta, T. Koike, M. Shionoya, K. Takenouchi and Y. Iitaka, *Inorg. Chem.*, 32 (1993) 2779.
- [12] P. Planinić and H. Meider, Polyhedron, 8 (1989) 627.
- [13] P. Planinić and H. Meider, Polyhedron, 9 (1990) 1099.
- [14] D. Matković-Čalogović, H. Meider and P. Planinić, J. Organomet. Chem., 461 (1993) 117.
- [15] P. Planinić, H. Meider and D. Matković-Čalogović, J. Organomet. Chem., 483 (1994) 205.
- [16] H. Hope, M. Viggiano, B. Moezzi and P.P. Power, *Inorg. Chem.*, 23 (1984) 2550.
- [17] F.A. Cotton and C.S. Kraihanzel, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 84 (1962) 4432.

- [18] C.S. Kraihanzel and F.A. Cotton, Inorg. Chem., 2 (1963) 533.
- [19] F.A. Cotton, Inorg. Chem., 3 (1964) 702.
- [20] S.O. Grim, D.A. Wheatland and W. McFarlane, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 89 (1967) 5573.
- [21] M.J. Aroney, R.M. Clarkson, T.W. Hambley and R.K. Pierens, J. Organomet. Chem., 426 (1992) 331.
- [22] L.C. Thomas, Interpretation of the Infrared Spectra of Organophosphorus Compounds, Heyden, London, 1974.
- [23] K. Nakanishi, Infrared Absorption Spectroscopy, Nankodo Company, Tokyo, 1964.
- [24] J.B. Lambert, H.F. Shurvell, L. Verbit, R.G. Cooks and G.H. Stout, Organic Structural Analysis, Macmillan, New York, 1976.
- [25] H.J. Plastas, J.M. Stewart and S.O. Grim, *Inorg. Chem.*, 12 (1973) 265.
- [26] F.A. Cotton, D.J. Darensburg and W.H. Ilsley, *Inorg. Chem.*, 20 (1981) 578.
- [27] T.A. Magee, C.N. Matthews, T.S. Wang and J.H. Wotiz, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 83 (1961) 3200.
- [28] T.S.A. Hor, L.-T. Phang, L.-K. Liu and Y.-S. Wen, J. Organomet Chem., 397 (1990) 29.
- [29] Z.-Z. Zhang, H.-K. Wang, Y.-J. Shen, H.-G. Wang and R.-J. Wang, J. Organomet. Chem., 381 (1990) 45.
- [30] A. Domenicano, A. Vaciago and C.A. Coulson, Acta Crystallogr., Sect. B, 31 (1975) 1630.
- [31] International Tables for X-ray Crystallography, Vol. IV, Kynoch, Birmingham, 1974.
- [32] G.M. Sheldrick, SHELX76, Program for Crystal Structure Determination, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, 1976.